```
0001
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
    MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
     COOPERATIVE CONSERVATION LISTENING SESSION
12
             Runge Nature Center
          2901 West Truman Boulevard
          Jefferson City, MO 65102
13
              August 29, 2006
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0002
1
              APPEARANCES
 2
 3
    COURT REPORTER:
 4
         ASHLEY C. HIGH
         MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
 5
         711 North 11th Street
         St. Louis, MO 63101
 6
         (314) 644-2191
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 0005 1

3

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. WITTER: Good afternoon. My name is Dan Witter. I'm the moderator today. I happen to live in Holts Summit, Missouri and traveled just a short distance to be here, unlike our honored quests on the stage who have traveled a long distance to listen.

More formal introductions of our guests will follow in a moment, but with us today are United States Department of Agriculture, Deputy Under Secretary, Natural Resources and Environment, Dave Tenny. And Environmental Protection Agency, Assistant Administrator for the Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Jim Gulliford.

Helping document today's event with their special skills, our court reporter, Ashley High, and sign interpreters, Kathleen Alexander and Heidi Spencer.

Now, if you would, please stand for the presentation of the colors by the Central Region Color Guard of Missouri Department of Corrections under the supervision of Charles Verdugo followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

It's with genuine honor that I now introduce the Director of the Missouri Department of Conservation, John Hoskins, with words of welcome for us.

MR. HOSKINS: Thank you, Dan. And good afternoon, everyone. It certainly is my pleasure to be with you today and to welcome you to the State Capitol of Jefferson City, 0004

Missouri and to the Missouri Department of Conservation's Runge Nature Center.

The concept of cooperative conservation and environmental partnerships are a part of our culture here in the Show-Me State. So it is fitting that today's listening session is coordinated by our State's Department of Conservation, Missouri Natural Resources Conservation Service Office and the Regional Office of the Fish and Wildlife Service.

The groundbreaking partnerships that are created among our organizations provide daily examples of how conservation efforts on public and private land will succeed if we work as a team.

Throughout this building you will see further examples of the Department of Conservation's partnership with Missouri citizens. Our agency was created by the voters in the 1930s and it is supported by a voter approved 1/8 percent of 1 percent sales tax.

For decades Missourians have put the resources in place to support the quality of life that clean water, healthy forests and sustainable wildlife bring.

Our Runge Nature Center is one of six locations across the State where parents and teachers, children, enthusiasts can all interactively learn about the plants and the animals of Missouri and about the threats to their health.

It is also a venue for community leaders and landowners, not-for-profit organizations to share their ideas about land management practices that protect our resources for the next generation of conservationists. Of course, all of

these efforts depend heavily upon a positive and cooperative 6 relationship with the Federal Government.

For this reason it was rewarding to attend a President's National Cooperative Conservation Conference last year in St. Louis. This kicked off the national dialogue that continues today.

The Department of Conservation is committed to building upon that effort, to creating more partnerships, partnerships that will leverage the work of organizations and to -- in conducting all these activities in an accountable and a transparent manner.

As I consider the opportunities before us I am reminded of a quote from Margaret Mead, which describes Missouri's experience and it sets an important talent for our task. She said: "Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful committed citizens can change the world. Indeed, it is the only thing that ever has."

So without further ado, welcome to our facility. I look forward to a very productive afternoon.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, Director Hoskins.

MR. HOSKINS: Yeah. No problem.

25 0006 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. WITTER: A quick review of our agenda today and the process we're going to follow. Our guests will be formally introduced in a moment and will make opening comments.

We'll have a brief presentation on an exemplary case study, cooperative conservation, and then we'll move right into the reason we're gathered here, which is to listen to your comments on cooperative conservation.

The process we're going to follow is designed to hear from as many people as want to be heard, while giving everyone a fair chance at the microphone.

As you came this afternoon you should have received an index card with some contact information and five questions that are the particular focus of these listening sessions.

When we get to the public comment session, you'll be called to the microphone in order of the number that's on your card. Actually, called to the microphones in small groups of five folks or so, simply move to the microphone closest to you, so the audience and recorder can hear you.

When it's your turn, please give your name, spell it, if appropriate, city and state of residence and organization if you're representing one. Court reporter, Ashley, will capture all your comments.

If you're not comfortable with speaking today, and performance jitters are absolutely understandable, you're very 0007

welcome to make comments by sending them by letter or fax to the address or phone number on your card or go to the website listed on the card and provide input or leave comments in the box at the back of the room today. All methods of comment are weighted equally, so however you choose to participate you'll be heard.

Please may I ask that you limit your verbal comments today to about two minutes and thirty seconds. This is done so that everyone has a chance to participate. At two minutes

10 I'll show you a yellow card and you'll have an additional 11 thirty seconds to wrap up and at that point I'll thank you for 12 your input and we'll give someone else an opportunity for 13 their turn. 14 Remember, you're very welcome to provide written 15 elaboration on your thoughts of any length by letter, fax, web 16 or drop materials you'd like to submit in the box at the back 17 of the room. 18 My responsibility as moderator is threefold. First, 19 to keep everything moving along, so I apologize in advance if 20 I have to ask you to abbreviate your verbal input. 21 Second, to deeply thank you for your presence here 22 today and insure that the process is systematic, substantive 23 and most certainly it should go unnecessary to even mention, 2.4 but civil. 25 And third, I might ask please for now turn off your 8000 1 cell phones and pagers. You know, even when set to vibrate 2 the signals on these electronic devices can interfere with 3 microphones. Also, to be sure that everyone is heard in the 5 listening session we'll not be able to answer questions from 6 the podium or get into an exchange. 7 Additionally now, I would like to acknowledge a few 8 people who have joined us here today to listen to your 9 comments and who would be available at the break or following 10 the meeting to answer questions you might have. 11 Among them, Charlie Wooley, Deputy Regional 12 Director, Midwest Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Mr. 13 Wooley, would you --14 MR. WOOLEY: (Standing.) 15 MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. In the back -- thank 16 Charlie Scott, Field Supervisor, Fish and Wildlife 17 Service, Columbia, Missouri. Mr. Scott? 18 MR. SCOTT: (Standing.) MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Roger Hanson, State 19 20 Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservations Service. 21 MR. HANSON: (Standing.) 22 MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Tim Kelley, Farm 23 Service Agency, State Executive Director. 24 MR. KELLEY: (Standing.) MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. And Karen Flournoy, 25 0009 1 Associate Regional Administrator, Region 7, Environmental 2 Protection Agency. 3 MS. FLOURNOY: (Standing.) 4 MR. WITTER: Thank you, ma'am. These folks will be 5 available at the break or at the end of the meeting to answer 6 questions. 7 And now, to our honored guests and their opening 8 comments. David Tenny was named Deputy Under Secretary for 9 Natural Resources and Environment for the U.S. Department of 10 Agriculture in October 2001. 11 He had been acting in that position since January 12 22nd of 2001. As Deputy Under Secretary he is responsible for 13 policy relating to the programs of the U.S. Department of

14

Agriculture's farm service.

A native of Boise, Idaho, Mr. Tenny earned a Bachelor's in American Studies from Brigham Young University in Utah and a law degree from George Washington University in Washington, D.C. Please join me in welcoming to the podium Dave Tenny.

2.2

2.5

MR. TENNY: Thank you, Dan, for being such a gracious moderator for us today. I think we all -- however, I would note that I do have performance jitters, so if you would like to read my opening remarks they're in the box in the back of the room.

I was preparing last night for this trip and I was

packing and my wife asked me where I was going and I said: I was going to Missouri, and she asked me who in the world in Missouri would want to listen to you, and I said: Well frankly, nobody, that's why it's a listening session and I'm here to listen. And that's good news for you, but I am obliged, in fact, and I am eager to offer a few opening remarks.

I am -- in my extracurricular activities outside of the Department of Agriculture I'm an Assistant Scout Master. We've got a lot of young men who are very eager and at the age of life that they are in -- and they're rambunctious as you might expect Scouts to be, and that's always an interesting challenge as a Scout Master.

Now, I am a merit badge counselor for the forestry merit badge and I better be and I better make sure that every one these Scouts earns the forestry merit badge. Otherwise, I would probably have serious questions at the office about why I'm not fulfilling that part of my responsibility in the Scouting Program.

And as I've worked with many young men on this merit badge, I have required them to learn the story of the American chestnut Tree. Probably many of you already know the story, but just in case you don't I'm going to tell it to you in an abbreviated form.

Around the turn of the 20th Century American

chestnut was one of the predominant tree species in the eastern United States. It was a very beautiful tree and it was literally the backbone of the tree that built the United States in its former years.

A fabulous tree, grew to great heights, inspired people, inspired poets. Something happened at the turn of the century, a blight was introduced by exotic species of a chestnut tree and the American chestnut as we know it disappeared from the United States.

Literally hundreds of millions of trees come to the effects of this blight. Now American chestnuts generally are not able to reach the age of maturity that would bring them to the stature they were once appreciated when they were delivering across the eastern United States.

Well, a number of decades ago a group of very visionary people gathered and formed the American Chestnut Foundation and they have one real purpose in mind and that was to restore the American chestnut and to develop a strain of chestnut that would be resistant and it took them literally

20 decades to do that. They partnered with, among others, the 21 U.S. Foresters to do this.

A couple of years ago, the President and the First Lady planted on the grounds of the White House a blight existent American chestnut tree, that really was a consummation of the decades of work these good people had put

into this vision.

Now, because of their hard work we have nurseries that are growing American chestnuts and those trees are being planted as quickly as they can, as carefully as they can in many locations around the United States in the eastern part of the country.

Now, that story to me is a symbol. I have an American -- blighted American chestnut tree seed on my desk at work and it reminds me of the power of cooperation. It reminds me of the power of common vision and purpose.

It's not lost on me that the success that we will enjoy as we see the American chestnut return to the forests of the United States was not the product of a government program. In fact, the great vision, the great work -- hard work that was done by a group of people who simply care.

A group of people who were serving something that was much larger than themselves, that seed speaks volumes when we talk about cooperative conservation.

The President of the United States a couple of years ago issued an Executive Order calling upon agencies of government to tap the tremendous resources that we have in our country.

People who are eager to join a community of purpose to serve something that is greater themselves in the name of conservation.

2.4

It might not surprise you to know that the Federal Government is not the bend's ultimate reservoir of good ideas in this area. In fact, as we travel around the country the best ideas and the ideas that we learn from people who simply come together to do something good about conservation, that's why we're here to listen to you.

We want to hear your ideas. We want know what's not working. We want to take your ideas and try to do better, because we believe we can.

We also know that you're not simply going to be able to take information back to Washington in a black box and magically produce a result that's going to resolve all the conflicts, all the concerns, that's going to require us take the information that we get from you and with you work towards a solution that will benefit, not only our natural resources, but the people who live in and enjoy them and use them to benefit their lives.

So thank you for being here. I look forward to hearing what you have to say. I'm looking forward to not saying a thing because I want to hear what you have to say and I want to go back home and I'm sure my wife will be amazed to hear that I actually sat for a few hours and didn't say anything.

So thank you for being here. We look very forward

 $25\,$ $\,$ to what you have to say and we welcome your comments and with $0014\,$

1 that I will turn the mike back over to our moderator. Thank
2 you very much.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, Mr. Tenny. James Gulliford is the Assistant Administrator for the Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances for the Environmental Protection Agency and is responsible for managing the nation's regulatory and scientific programs on pesticides and industrial chemicals, as well as overseeing many collaborative pollution prevention programs.

The office has an annual budget of \$260 million and more than fourteen hundred employees. Prior to being confirmed for his present position by the Senate in July 2006, Mr. Gulliford served as the Region 7 Administrator for EPA beginning September 2001. In that position he was responsible for the interface between the EPA Headquarters in Washington and the citizens of Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska and Missouri.

And prior to that Mr. Gulliford had twenty-five years of professional experience administrating environmental programs in agriculture and mining.

He received a Bachelor's Degree in Forestry Management and a Master's Degree in Forestry Economics and Marketing from Iowa State University.

He worked at Iowa State University and Southern Illinois University in mine reclamation and environmental protection until 1982 when he became Director of Iowa's

Department of Soil Conservation.

2.3

Mr. Gulliford was named the Director of the Division of Soil Conservation when the Department of Soil Conservation merged with the Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship. Please join me in welcoming to the podium Mr. Jim Gulliford.

MR. GULLIFORD: Thank you, Dan. And good afternoon. I really do appreciate the fact that many of you have chosen to take a bit of your time and share it with us today, because we all know that your investment today has to pay off for you personally to be an appropriate use of your time and we hope that you understand how much we want to listen to your ideas today and to hear your thoughts regarding cooperative conservation.

As Dan indicated in my introduction, yes, I am the Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides, Toxic Substances. Two of those programs are very significant regulatory programs looking at America's chemistry and pesticides and it is very important to our economic wellbeing, but also a very important program is our pollution prevention program.

And that is a program where we invest significantly programs that bring people together to solve the problems associated with the environment, consistent with the mission of EPA and many of those are done in the collaborative and

very partnering approach and it's a problem solving.

I'm pleased to join you today and I want to thank, again, John, for your hosting here at this wonderful Runge

facility.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

This is a great Department of Conservation facility here in the State of Missouri and still as a homeowner and a taxpayer in the State of Missouri I share in the pride, I think, in facilities like this here in the State of Missouri.

Let me say a couple of words about my interests and why I'm here today, as well. In 2004 President Bush directed the EPA and all of our federal agencies and department to empower local citizens in the tools to solve the local environmental challenges that they face, that's companies, communities, individuals, and partners of all agencies, at all levels of government have really come to realize that we all have a shared role and responsibility in protecting the environment. In fact, environmental protection is everybody's responsibility.

In collaboration this is one of the tools in the EPA toolbox and our core principles to meet the President's challenge to us. A call to accelerate the pace of environmental inspections in this country while maintaining our nation's economic competitiveness.

24 And appropriate to why we're here is that in 25 Missouri and in the Midwest these are the very core practices 0017

that we see happen every day. Again, that's our interest in hearing your stories. We're going to hear a story, a good example of how this type of partnership effort pays dividends and stays like Missouri when we hear about the Smithville Lake Project in just a few minutes.

We also look forward to hearing about many other activities that you folks have been a part of, want to be part of and ways that you fount to partner collaboratively, again, to solve a good challenge that we face.

Your ideas are what we're here to hear and we're very anxious to get on with that. So, again, I want to thank you all for coming and investing a little of your time in bringing us this information and I look forward to listening to your ideas. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: At the White House Conference in Cooperative Conservation in August 2005 exemplary case studies in cooperative conservation were presented.

We're fortunate to have with us today Mr. Steve Taylor, CEO of Environmental Resources Coalition, who will incapsulate one such case study on the Smithville Lake Project. Mr. Taylor, please come to the podium. Thank you, sir.

MR. SMITH: Thank you very much. I'd say it's hard for a slow talking country boy like me to say anything in five minutes, so I'll give it my best shot. 0018

We were asked to come to the St. Louis conference last year to talk about the Smithville Project and at that time I had a DVD that I presented and I don't have that DVD today, so I'll talk it off-the-cuff here a little bit, so bear with me.

In the time that we have here I'm not going to talk about our project or any kind of show and tell and any specifics, but what I wanted to focus on is the cooperation a 9 little bit that we had in that project.

2.3

We started the Smithville Project -- the issue back then when we started it was a agriculture chemical called atrazine, that's used primarily for growing corn. It's a very effective herbicide.

In the mid-1990s there was a lot of problems environmentally with the use of this product. It was being found in drinking water. We had violations of drinking water standards.

Later on it was from the clean water side it was listed on the State of Missouri as an impairment for that reservoir along with nine others. So there was some real challenges going on in the mid-1990s from the use of this product.

The farmers were in a little bit of a bind, because there was no good substitute economically for this product. It was getting a lot of press and the local Kansas City Star

was -- the issue was showing up on the front page.

It was about in the mid-1990s that I started collaborating with a group of partners. They included the Commodity Organization, the Corn Growers started collaborating with our good friend at the University of Missouri Extension -- thank you, Bob Broz -- and started collaborating with the other partners to bring together a partnership.

And as I said, that's what I want to focus on here was the partnership, so we did that. We had the commodity group. We had the acres of atrazine, the private company, DNR, the University of Oakley, but also with our federal partners, the EPA and USDA were our primary partners on this project.

So we started off with -- the main story I wanted to talk about -- we started off with a landowner meeting. And I'll never forget we had about, oh, fifty landowners in a room in Smithville, Missouri -- this was 1997 or so I believe.

And this was right -- coming on right after some pretty bad headlines in the Kansas City Star and the project that we worked on, again, I'm not going to go into detail, but was basically a very data intensive science driven project, where we collected a lot of samples, not only from the lake, but also the streams and from the farmers fields.

And we wanted to get to that level because we was going to work with the farmers on different farming practices

that would hopefully keep it profitable for the farmers to farm, but also reduce the amounts of atrazine coming off the fields.

We had this landowner meeting and remember I got up and I told them exactly what we're going to do. We're going to work with EPA. We're going to put monitors in the field, collect the data and work with the EPA and DNR on that data.

And the air left the room for just a second. All the farmers had a little bit of a gasp and I mentioned this DVD and there's a part in there that I really love, where one of the landlord owners, he actually farms most of the land in this water shed -- it's a little over a 100,000 acre water shed, but in the DVD he said -- Jim, he said -- he said

something to the effect -- you mentioned EPA and I shuttered, that we were going to be working so closely with the EPA and the DNR and regulatory agencies on a project like this.

2.1

And I'll say again, we had some hard times that first year or two getting over this apprehension of working with the federal agencies. And it was along that time -- and you heard Jim's biography -- that he came to be the Regional Administrator of the EPA.

And I've known Jim for some time and we were sharing about this challenge and it was not soon after that, Jim, that you managed to come with us and -- to a field day at Smithville, actually walked the fields with the farmers. We

had a barbecue that night at the local fertilizer dealership and that was the key point.

It wasn't all the meetings with all the different staff of what we needed to do that was good to develop the quality assurance plans, the sampling plans and all the other plans that we needed to do. What really worked and made the collaboration work was that face-to-face trusting relationship you get with the landowners to make that thing work.

They had to see that the EPA in the form of Jim Gulliford didn't have horns on the top of his head and I think they found that out. And that was really a turning point, I think, early on in the project that we were able to bring about a trusting relationship there.

Later on in -- and the project ended in August of '04. And I'll kind of close with this. I think I got five minutes before they knock me off the stage here, but in August of '04 we were closing out the project and we were honored to have Mike Leavitt in the region -- the EPA Administrator for the U.S. out of D.C. come in and help celebrate the success of the project.

We were successful. The levels of atrazine in the lake over a six, seven-year period fell, got below the MCL levels. We were able to keep the farmers still farming. So we created the win-win scenario we were hoping to create.

And the part that I remember when Mr. Leavitt gave a

speech at our Smithville field day was the way he talked about when he'd go to Cabinet meetings with the President -- with President Bush and he said the President would say -- when he'd come to him on the Cabinet meetings he'd say: Are you cleaning the air, the water and keeping the land safe and are you doing it in a manner that keeps us economically competitive.

And I think that's another key. When we're going to vote, we have to keep in mind that most people that we're dealing with on conservation issues there's not always going to be that profit factor that we have to keep in consideration as was in this project.

The key was for us to work with the farmers. Always keep in mind that they were having to look at their bottom line and keep farming and so everything we did in working with our partnerships and federal agencies and others we had to keep that in mind.

So that's my story, Dan, and I appreciate the

opportunity here. I know there's a lot of other stories here in Missouri and I appreciate the opportunity to come back.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, Mr. Taylor, for a story of cooperative conservation and trust. Thank you. And welcome -- more Missouri welcome to Mr. Tenny and Mr. Gulliford, and now it's your turn.

Please will commenters with cards one to five please

make your way to the microphones. By the way, if you've got a card, have a number and have decided not to comment no social desirability with simply staying in your seats. And by the way, there are two microphones set up here for your convenience.

We will ask you, sir, for your name just to remind us, spell it if appropriate, city, state, organization if you're representing one. About two minutes, sir, and I'll show a yellow card. It's not a penalty card. It's just to say the two minutes and you'll have about another thirty seconds. And do speak into the microphone, sir. I'm discovering this, just go ahead and put your mouth right on it there and we'll be able to hear you. Thank you very much, sir. Your comment is welcome. No. 1 or who's at the microphone first?

MR. DAVIS: I'm No. 1.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir.

MR. DAVIS: It may be my only time. I'm Maurice Davis, Higbee, Missouri. Society -- I'm representing the Society for Range Management. I want to talk about a couple of things, professional societies and professional experience.

I became a student member of the Society for Range Management in 1965, and yes, there was colleges back then and have been a continuous member for the last forty years.

The Society for Range Management can be a useful

4 5

umbrella organization that provides a forum where like-minded individuals from various groups, public and private, can learn and work together to promote an ecological approach to grassland, rangeland, ecosystem management.

My professional experience is -- I was employed by the Soil Conservation Service now Natural Resource Conservation Service in 1972 in South Dakota. I came to Missouri as a State Range Conservationist in 1987 and retired in 2003.

In my experience -- my professional experience over these last -- over those thirty years with NRCS, a technical agency, and I believe a good one over the long run, I have felt that oftentimes that too much authority is placed with the administrative personnel in developing technical policy.

Then the technical event -- the technical employee is given the responsibility often without adequate authority to carry out the programs in which they had too little input.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir, that was two minutes right on the mark. Congratulations to you. That was a very fine example of the -- the process. Thank you, sir. Again, right to the heart of the matter and well done. Please No. 2.

MR. CLUBINE: Hi, I'm Steve Clubine, C-L-U-B-I-N-E, and I'm from Windsor, Missouri. I'm here today representing

the Society for Range Management and my professional organization, again, called the North American Grounds 0025

Partnership, that organization is --

2.4

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WITTER: $\operatorname{Mr}.$ Clubine, right into the microphone, please, sir.

MR. CLUBINE: As well as the North American Grounds Partnership. Society for Range Management has represented my views as a wildlife biologist and concerns for conservation of our country's diverse natural resources, as well as I hope this conservation coalition is interested in those views, as well.

As organization's members view comment and programs and policies that affect soil and water and wildlife conservation in equal values, not just one over the other.

Our national and USDA programs impact these and help landowners and landowner agencies practice conservation, hopefully, but not always in healthy, sustainable ways.

And we embrace programs to further conservation of these habitats, but not those that create problems for future generations or threaten species.

We ask that you promote plant communities, grazing systems and management approaches that help all of these species and programs. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you for your comment, sir. Just for a sense of -- that was one minute and thirty seconds, Mr. Clubine. And there a soft spoken biologist as Mr. Clubine I do encourage you to put your mouth right very close to the

microphone. Is that not right, sir? So you can be heard by all. Thank you. Very, very good. Thank you. Please ma'am.

MS. COLLINS: Thank you. My name is Stevie Collins.

I'm the third leg of the Society for Range Management stool.

I am from Clay County, Missouri and I would like to add a couple of supporting comments.

SRM supports the enhancement of biodiversity and complex ecosystems. It strives to enhance and promote this through an understanding of complex grasslands, ag economics and an integration of sound grazing principles in the interest of sustaining wildlife habitats, diverse plant communities and landowner livelihoods.

It supports the cooperation between state, federal, local agency, landowners as the Bedrock of all foreign movement. SRM supports the proper use of prescribed fires, a tool in restoring and maintaining functional plant communities, which include grasslands, savannahs and glades.

In addition, SRM recognizes managed grazing as an indispensable tool for restoring and sustaining a historic function of rangeland.

There is currently only limited acknowledgement within certain federal agencies, such as NRCS, of the value of rangeland or even the definition of rangeland in the eastern United States.

25 Regarding the policy we would suggest a short term 0027

set aside of five or six years with possible rotations that would aid farmers in accomplishing important management goals and a possible modification of USDA policy to increase the efficacy of conservation programs that would be to combine the funding for WHIP, EQIP and keeping the conservation security program.

2.1

2.2

And in addition, combining the easement programs of the wetland reserve and the grassland reserve and dedicate them to the preservation and restoration in rare and declining habitat.

In conclusion, I would like to give examples of two cooperative conservation efforts in Missouri. The first is the grassland coalition consisting of over fifteen partners, whose purpose is to restore and expand grassland habitats and the associated wildlife.

The second is Kansas City wild lands, which EPA is a partner, and it focuses on protecting, restoring and managing the native landscapes in and around the Kansas City area and over thirty partners are involved with that. Thank you very much.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, ma'am. Commenter 4, please. Thank you, sir -- or ma'am, I'm sorry.

MS. ROBERTS: My name is Constance Roberts. I am from Rolla, Missouri. And I am here as a private citizen concerning citizens for natural resources. And I am a

practicing voter. I would like to welcome you to Missouri, the Show-Me State, and I want to show you -- I hope to show you that Missouri -- Missourians do care for our natural resources.

If you haven't picked up one of these Missouri Conservation Magazines, please do. This particular publication just came out in September and in it shows that Missourians do care about our next generation.

There are several articles in it that shows how cooperation between not-for-profits, private owners, government agencies, including state and federal agencies can work together in the -- for the benefit of our natural resources.

It is sad that the conservationists understand and values the fact that we are borrowing against the needs of generations to come. I ask that we do not put them into a deficit.

Missourians recently showed we value our natural resources and children by overwhelming reauthorizing our conservation tax. This shows that Missouri citizens are willing to foot the bill for conservation outcomes. The Federal Government can do the same.

 $\,$ The Endangered Species Act needs to be reauthorized and fully funded in order to enhance wildlife habitat and species protection.

Missourian landowners have also cooperated with Missouri Department of Conservation to fence off -- fence off buffered zones along our beautiful springs. The Federal Government can promote such protection of habitats for national security.

To sum up I would like to say that the Federal Government can fully fund the Endangered Species Act, promote

protection of habitats, especially along our coast and plug 9 into research being done at universities, such as UMR, in 10 alternative energy, such as --11 MR. WITTER: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. 12 Thank you, ma'am. Please, sir. 13 MR. HOOVER: My name is Roland Hoover. I am here 14 representing the River Bluffs Audubon Society, which is of the 15 City of Jefferson. We have about three hundred members and we 16 meet regularly --17 MR. WITTER: Speak into the microphone please, sir. 18 MR. HOOVER: Okay. Cooperative conservation sounds 19 Everybody needs cooperation. We as bird watchers would 20 sit at home and look at our bird feeders if it wasn't for the 21 cooperation of everything that let's us travel about the 22 country and seek the species of birds for us to watch. 23 Our concern, as one of our members, is the private 24 practices will be motivated to enter into partnerships during 25 this cooperative conservation movement and it will localize 0030 1 conservation and weaken regulation. 2 The specific regulation we are concerned about is 3 what the lady before me spoke about and that is the Endangered 4 Species Act. The Endangered Species Act is not broken. We 5 don't need to fix it. We need to strengthen it. 6 99 percent of the endangered species that are placed 7 on the list survive today. 68 percent of those have recovered 8 and are moving to higher levels because more of the species is 9 surviving. 10 Particularly, I am interested in the American Bald 11 Eagle. As a young man I was able to see bald eagles every 12 winter, but now I'm able to see them spring, find their nest. 13 I can take you to some of their nests, if you would like me to 14 do that. 15 Another example of cooperation bringing things to a 16 fore end is the sale of resources for Teshekpuk, T-E-S-H-E-K-P-U-K, Lake Reserve in Alaska. There's an article 17 in the new National Geographic about this. 18 19 We are asking that Secretary Kempken (sic) of 20 Department of the Interior not auction off this land next 21 month as is proposed. This is a wetland that is supporting 22 many birds. One particular bird is the yellow-billed cuckoo, 23 which is endangered and he needs this land to propitiate. 24 MR. WITTER: Thank, you sir. I appreciate your 25 comment. Thank you, sir. Commenters six through ten, please. 0031 1 Please, No. 6. 2 MS. DENNY: Hi, I'm Betty Denny. And I live in St. 3 Louis, but -- can everyone hear me -- okay. 4 MR. WITTER: Go ahead, ma'am, right into the 5 microphone. That would be great. 6 MS. DENNY: I live in St. Louis, but I have a small 7 acreage in Reynolds County, which I'm very, very proud to say 8 in 2005 and 2006 I planned -- planned a forest plan with the 9 conservation -- Missouri Conservation Forester and we did 10 complete that plan and the primary trust of that plan was for 11 biodiversity and so that is what I am very -- most interested

12 in.

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

And so I have two requests of you. And that is, number one, you handle and manage and ensure that public lands are managed primarily for biodiversity and for species that are endangered, threatened or at risk. And number two, that you enforce and fully fund the Endangered Species Act. Thank you very much.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, ma'am. No. 7, please.

MR. BEDAN: My name David Bedan. And I live in Columbia, Missouri. And I'm a member of the Board of Trustees of Audubon Missouri, which is the State Office of the National Wildlife Society.

I echo Mr. Hoovers' comments about the Endangered Species Act. We don't think it's broken, it just needs to be 0032

fully implemented and funded. And while we support the cooperative conservation, we don't think it's a substitute for full enforcement of the Endangered Species Act.

We do have a number of cooperative projects in Missouri. One of them as part of our Bird Area Program, we're involved in a community and landowner based approach conserving native grasslands near the Hi Lonesome Prairie Conservation Area adjacent to the southern Missouri town of Cole Camp.

This is a grassland landscape that could benefit from some tweaks in the Natural Resources Conservation Services Incentive Programs that favor habitat. For example, later laying (phonetic) requirement to protect nesting of grasslands birds. Perhaps offset by NRCS payments to compensate the landowners for the losses due to the delay in laying (phonetic).

Another project is IBA project is opining of the Savanna Restoration Project. And I know this was talked about in St. Louis Cooperative Conference last year.

This could be a model for sustainable timbering involving multiple public and private partners and eventually leading to the reintroduction of the brown-headed nuthatch and the red-cockaded woodpecker.

We're also working on some -- developing some Audubon centers, which are primarily educational centers. 0033

first is under construction now in Joplin and that project could benefit from some further federal green building and alternative energy incentives.

Another Audubon center is in the planning stages in St. Louis, where we'll be working with the Corp of Engineers and we would benefit some increased -- clearer ways to share resources between the Federal Government and not-for-profits.

And finally for in someone who spent a year in Alaska with the military, I echo Mr. Hoovers' comments about preservation of those fragile habitats, which are easy to damage and very hard to recover. Thank you.

12 MR. WITTER: Thank you sir. Presenter 8, please, or 13 Presenter 9.

MR. SOOKIE: Good afternoon. My name is Norm Sookie (phonetic.) I'm fisheries consultant for Bass Pro Shops with headquarters in Springfield, Missouri.

I appreciate this opportunity to share several examples of how our company is cooperatively partnering with state and federal natural resource agencies to address habitat issues and further the conservation costs throughout the nation.

The first example is the White River Fisheries Partnership. Bass Pro Shops is proud to be recognized as one of the founding and actively participating members with this partnership that was formed in 2001.

2.4

The mission statement at this organization reads as follows: The White River Fisheries Partnership establishes common and achievable objectives, designed to enhance recreational fishing opportunities developed through a federal, state and private partnership.

In the interest of time, pardon me, I will not name all the participating agencies of stake holders and simply say that thanks to the work being done by this very active partnership the future is bright for reactional fishing and a quiet habitat in the White River Basin.

Example two, the Habitat Barge Program. In 2001 Bass Pro Shops and Tracker Machine engineers teamed up with fisheries marine biologists and aquatic scientists from the Missouri Department of Conservation and the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission to design and construct two habitat barges capable of safely handling and placing large stumps, boulders and twenty-five to thirty-feet tall cedar trees in impoundments lacking woody parts for aquatic habitats.

And in the spring of 2005 engineers again met with biologists and scientists, who had for two years operated the prototype barges, to discuss modifications and design changes to ensure that the second generation habitat barge would be an even more efficient, effective tool in the hands of fishery managers.

Through improved habitat barges are now restoring

and enhancing fishery habitats in the States of Missouri, Arkansas, Oklahoma and Nevada. And several more barges are under construction in the interest in this management tool is running high as a result of a recent article in Bass Times, which referred to them as a "wonder barge".

Final example. In September 2004, the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies agreed to take the lead in developing what is now know as the National Fisheries Habitat Initiative.

Bass Pro Shops is proud to have one of two private industry representatives serving on the corp work team responsible for developing the roadmap for this National Initiative.

And just this past spring, as further of evidence of Pro Shop's commitment to the future of quality fishing in the United States, our company pledged to work with the National Fishing Wildlife Foundation and help raise \$100 million dollars over the next five years to help fund habitat enhancement and restoration projects that are developed as part of this nation.

MR. WITTER: The Court thank you's a good number to

22 ends on. Thank you, sir. Please, sir.

MR. OETTING: Good afternoon. I'm Steve Oetting. I'm a corn and soybean and pork producer from Concordia, Missouri. I'm a Lafayette Soil Water Conservation District 0036

Supervisor and currently serving as President of Missouri Association Soil and Water Conservation District and I'm also Missouri's Representative on the National Association of Conservation District's Board.

As we look at the issues that we were addressing today. CSP is one that comes out very strongly to me. I am enrolled in CSP and would like to expand the acreage that I have. However, the funding is not available.

When we look at the CSP programs as it was written in the 2002 Farm Bill, water quality, sediment retention, incentives for nutrient management, crop counting were all a part of it.

I think these are very unique programs and very beneficial to reducing the Hypoxy area that runs in the Mississippi River. It is also showing a benefit to agriculture and I live 100 percent off of agriculture. wife and I have done this for, approximately, twenty-eight years.

So the federal dollars coming in to show us how to do things and to reward us for what we have are a definite asset to the future of agriculture.

As we look at the '02 Farm Bill it was kind of put in as a conservation farm bill. I take LDPs, like many other producers, but I really think that the path that we need to go down is the put dollars into conservation, not into

production.

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

0037 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

We need keep the same amount of federal dollars coming into agriculture. Currently disposable income -- 10 percent of disposable income is spent on food. Federal dollars are important to keep agriculture solvent.

One of the things that -- the possibility of hitting some of the programs within Missouri that are sales and parks tax does not hit, I would like to see some federal funds established where the State DNR could use a matching grant approach to address some of the issues that we currently cannot in our sales and parks tax.

In closing, conservation on the ground today will show the benefits tomorrow. The longer we wait, the more we'll lose. Let's use the programs that we have to the fullest. Let's fund them and let's keep everything going the way it was wrote in the '02 Farm Bill.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Next, please.

MR. CRAMER: Good afternoon. My name is Tom Cramer. I'm the Soil and Water Warden in Montgomery County. I live in rural Montgomery County. I'm also the chairman for the local NRC, which we are trying to form at this time.

Some of our concerns, of course, the funding and it seems to be the trend that more of the local people are expected to do more and there's less people to do that and the only way we get a lot of our work done is through personal

contact. We need people on the ground doing this kind of 2 work.

Another thing with CSP, a concern by some of the people that have forest ground and maybe their entire ground is all forest, no crops or open ground for grazing they are not eligible for this program.

Another thing is the talks of regulation and we all know that any kind of special wildlife, our conservation regulation there is no clients if people do not understand what it is they're requesting to do or commanding to do without opines all the laws in country side does not help. We have to have a reasonable loss to affect conservation. That's my comments and I thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. This brings us to group eleven through fifteen. Please move to the microphone at your convenience.

MS. ASMUS: Good afternoon, my name is Susan Asmus, A-S-M-U-S. I'm here on behalf of National Association of Home Builders. I'm coming from Washington D.C.

I'm pleased to have the opportunity to be here to discuss ways to improve relationships and environmental outcomes. And I'd like to thank the administration for including the public in their deliberations.

In looking at the thirty plus years since the implementation of the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species

0039 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Act and other environmental laws there continue to be successes and failures, but there are also opportunities. These opportunities can only be realized, however, if the challenges are overcome.

First, these laws are over thirty years old. As a result they are rarely flexible enough to accommodate new information, forward new ideals or facilitate innovation.

Thus NHB believes that legislative reform of the Endangered Species Act and the Clean Water Act must be seriously considered.

Second, the barrier to "doing the right thing" for the environment must be removed. For example, it makes little sense for a landowner to take steps to improve habitat on his or her property if the Fish and Wildlife Service is going to later deem it critical habitat.

Similarly while many tout the ideals and benefits of low impact development it loses it's appeal when one learns that the natural looking storm water conveyance channel may letter be deemed a water of the United States and subject to all of the burden associated with Section 404.

Another barrier is the permitting programs themselves. In my nearly twenty years of environmental permitting experience compliance has only gotten more difficult. Removing disincentives, simplifying permitting, clarifying standards and establishing shared roles and 0040

1 expectations up front could all contribute significantly to 2 improving conservation.

Finally, more incentives and better carrots must be 3 created. Market driven solutions like wetlands mitigation

banking or habitat trading, holistic approaches, like regional 6 water shed plans and consumer recognition program similar to 7 Energy Star or Green Building could all lead to better 8 environmental outcome. Thank you. MR. WITTER: Thank you, ma'am. 9 10 MR. POISTER: Good afternoon. Thank you for the 11 opportunity to speak. My name is Paul Poister with 12 Partnership for the West. That is P-O-I-S-T-E-R. The 13 partnership is based in Golden, Colorado. 14 Mr. Tenny, you'll be pleased to know that 15 conservation is alive and well in your alma mater. The 16 coaching staff cancelled the last day of training to take the 17 team on a float trip down the Provo river, so they're enjoying 18 the outdoors in Provo this spring. 19 The Partnership for the West is a broad-based 20 alliance of people who support a clean environment and a 21 healthy growing economy. 22 The partnership's membership includes more than six 23 hundred companies and associations, coalitions and 24 individuals, who collectively employ or represent more than 25 one million people across America in sectors including farming 0041 and ranching, coal, forestry, small business, utilities, oil 1 2 and gas, construction, reactional advocates and state and 3 local government elected officials. 4 The topic that's already gotten a lot of attention 5 here this afternoon is the need to modernize the Endangered 6 Species Act. 7 Of the more than thirteen hundred species in the 8 United States that have been listed has threatened or 9 endangered less than 1 percent have successfully been 10 recovered. 11 Unfortunately, when it comes to the ESA political 12 science trumps real science and in the field conservation is 13 droughted out by never ending litigation from activists, 14 lawyers. 15 Congress is working to enact meaningful reform of 16 the ESA and we encourage the administration to act now to make 17 this law work better. 18 We'll provide written comments with extensive 19 recommendations, but I'd like briefly to touch upon a few of 20 the specific ideas about how the ESA would be updated and 21 modernized. 22 Ensure the species listing Petitions and critical 2.3 habitat designations meet minimum scientific standards and 24 ensure that all data is made available to the public. 25 Remove the bureaucratic barriers, the voluntary 0042 1 participation in conservation programs and establish 2 consistent rules, policies and procedures for designating 3 critical habitat. 4 I'll wrap up, so I don't get tossed out, but thank

MR. WITTER: Thank you very much, sir.
MR. THESSEN: No. 14. My name is Bill Thessen,

you for the opportunity. We looking forward to providing

written comments for the record.

5

7

8

T-H-E-S-S-E-N. I'm a farmer from Cole County. I appreciate the comments of the fella that came out of the audience up there on involvement of the farmers up at Smithville -- is that right? I'm kind of hard of hearing, so I didn't get all of what you had to say, but that's one thing I want to touch on is better respect for the interest of the joining farmers to these projects that NRCS and FSA implemented. That wasn't

done in our case.

I farmed this land for -- ever since 1975 and they literally told us what they were going to do. They took nineteen acres out of fifty and put into the CP 22 Program.

In 2002 we heard this was going to happen and I spoke the technician at that time and he said I don't think that you're going to qualify, but in 2004 we had a signed contract.

Even though I cited numerous things that weren't

done for the project to qualify. I don't want anybody to get the idea that I got a negative attitude, but this habitat we've got it. It's already there.

We've got -- we call it woods or I guess some people will call it a forest, but these deer and turkeys live in these adjoining areas and there's a lot of corn and beans that they could feast on. When they run out of food across the Osage River they come over there and feast off of us.

And as far as habitat goes I know there is places for forest to be established like in the prairie areas and where there is no -- no cover and not much to eat either, I guess.

So like I said, we've already got the habitat and have been feeding these animals for almost fifty years, that's something the farmer doesn't get much credit for.

MR. WITTER: Mr. Thessen, if I may, sir. I'm sorry to interrupt. Please forgive the interruption, but that was two minutes and thirty-eight seconds. I'm actually giving you a grace period.

MR. THESSEN: I told you I was long winded.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.

MR. THESSEN: I appreciate it.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. That should -- was that all of the group eleven through fifteen? If no one else moves to the microphone in that group we're going to ask

sixteen through twenty to come to the microphone. Group sixteen through twenty. Please ma'am.

MS. VOLLMER: I'm Jennifer Vollmer from Laramie, Wyoming. And I'm here representing both the -- I'm on the Steering Committees for Boards of the Center for Evasive Plant Management, Weed and Plant Society of America, Aquatic Plant Management Society, Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Foundation and also the Western Wildfire Impact Reduction Resource Center, so a broad group of people.

Comments I do have in the black box, but I want to highlight some main points. Just, first of all, cooperation of partnerships is definitely the way to go.

And really the effort that the Federal Government's

putting into funding those things is really appreciated, but the one thing I want to bring to your attention is hundreds of partnerships that are all ready formed.

Some of form lines are used and some are just people who have gotten together that have the same drive or the force behind them, which is a lot of times a basic lead and any time these people are after -- controlling a basic lead there are real end goals actually to conservation.

They can control those leads and they're going to end up with range improvement, habitat, soil stabilization, water conservation or simply improving aesthetics.

So I strongly encourage you to utilize these groups

2.5

2.1

that are already formed, not just including funding or including the Spartina Control and Eradication Act as one of the programs within cooperative conservation.

Also, funding of the Center for Evasive Plant Management within the USDA ARS budget. And also, inclusion of plant management in ESA reform I do feel like that is broken. I think it concentrates too much on development and not enough on habitat improvement.

And then the other thing I'd like to talk about is the Healthy Forest Partnership Act, that was referred to on the website. And I want to say this healthy forest initiative has been an extremely successful program, especially in the forested areas.

I don't think there's a person out west that doesn't know what's in a forest to mitigate fire, but the missing component is the emphasis on the fuel treatments on rangeland.

Right now a policy just came through about brush to be removed and nothing is done about fine fuels. And there's got to be a fine fuel component within -- if there is a Healthy Forest Partnership Act there's got to be fine fuel's component for us. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, ma'am. Please, sir.

MR. OSBORNE: I guess we lost 17, 18 and 19.

Welcome to the great State of Missouri. My name is Terry Osborne and I own Osborne Homes in Springfield, Missouri.

I'm representing the Home Builders Association of the Greater Springfield Area. My comments are set around the Clean Water Act, Storm Water Permit Program.

The EPA current storm water regulations are complex, excessive, costly and oftentimes duplicate the efforts of state and local governments.

Home builders believe there should be storm water regulations, but they also believe those regulations should be reasonable, thoughtful and fair.

We also believe that more effective environmental protection will come from simple, consistent rules and encourage compliance.

To further the ideals of cooperative conservation there are a number of steps that can be taken to improve water quality while simplifying and facilitating compliance.

First, the permitting programs should be simplified by eliminating duplicate permit requirements. One way to accomplish this is by improving coordination with the states

and facilitating the recognition and adoption of qualifying local programs. The programs should also be streamline by adopting single lot permit or other information.

Second, EPA and the state should collaborate to develop consistent enforcement of policies and a focus on environmental protection.

For example, updating the expedited settlement

program, improving inspection majors and deferring to state enforcement to further demonstrate the agency's commitment to water quality.

Finally, collaborative compliance must be implemented to ensure widespread awareness of the program and improve overall compliance.

Clearly, storm water regulations that are well coordinated, that are simple and fair will encourage compliance and in the end do more to protect rivers and streams of the current system. Thanks for the opportunity.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. That should have included everyone in the group sixteen through twenty. Just to review, we should have at this point got -- allowed to come to the microphone everyone one through twenty. One through twenty. In which case twenty-one through twenty-five, please. Please, sir, you may go ahead. At the microphone, please.

MR. CLARK: My name is Bill Clark. I'm the -- Columbia, Missouri. I'm President of the Columbia Audubon Society, Historic for the Audubon Society of Missouri and the primary columnist for the Columbia Daily Tribune approaching many things, politics, the environment, et cetera.

I have two items. I only have one number. One of them I'll mail to you and that's in my regard to the clean air, clean water, the endangered species and so forth, but I want to dwell just briefly on the grass roots issue.

2.3

The Audubon Society of Missouri and Columbia Audubon Society are involved with the Missouri Department of Conservation in a project in which birders visit the seven hundred sixty or so conservation areas, lakes, accesses, memorial areas in our state to develop a year round bird list for each.

The project's goal is to ID -- identify any special management needs which Missouri Department of Corrections (sic) -- Conservation can address -- well, they were here earlier. For instance, Swainson's Warblers are rare in Missouri and found in the canebrakes primarily just north of the Arkansas border, a major nesting area is in a series of the Department's river accesses.

The Department is quite aware of the fragile habitat of this nesting area and manages accordingly. This is a new program, which has brought together both the Missouri Department of Conservation and birders, who often butt heads, into a program that is both fun and vital and statewide.

Now, Missouri has many areas of national forest and many of nation's top horticulturists, both professional and amateur, live here. I personally -- and as a leader in both state and local Audubon societies would welcome the Fish and Wildlife Service and Forest Service to ask us for help.

Now, just in case -- we'll just check to see whether you were listening. The cost is zero. All you have to do is 0049

1 pick up the phone. Thank you.

2.1

MR. WITTER: Thank you, Mr. Clark. Thank you for you comment, sir. Please, sir.

MR. GORDON: I'm Troy Gordon from Columbia, Missouri. I'm chairman of Friends of Big Muddy, which is a group that supports the Big Muddy National Fish and Wildlife Refuge. It's located along the Missouri River between Kansas City and St. Louis.

We have, approximately, six hundred members across the State of Missouri and basically work to provide volunteer service for the refuge.

We have three main areas that we work. We hold fun activities on the refuge areas to encourage people to get and out and explore the areas.

We do a variety of work days to support the refuge project and we also have booths at area festivals and events

to promote the refuge and let people know that it exists.

As I read through this card I want to say that the Big Muddy Refuge is doing these things already and they're doing them very, very well.

I have a list of projects that I can go into, but there isn't time, but I will say that the refuge works with Scout groups. They work with conservation organizations, sportsman's organizations. They work with local communities and they are indeed cooperating with them and doing a variety

of projects that are -- provide good support for the refuge.

Having said that, there are problems. I'd be the first to say and it probably doesn't surprise you that the leading problem is a lack of money.

The refuge needs additional monies for continued acquisitions. They have a couple of acquisitions that are hopefully up -- in process. Once those are concluded their acquisitions will be completed.

In addition, the refuge needs money to build the visitors center to interpret the Missouri River and the wildlife habitats to the general public.

The refuge needs monies for something as simple as to have a boundary surveys completed, so they can actually put boundary signs along the refuge areas. Some of the units don't have boundary signs and that's a problem for adjacent landowners, because people unknowingly trespass onto private land.

Finally, the refuge needs money to continue habitat restoration efforts and to control non-invasive species, especially plant species, like Johnson grass and purple loostrife.

But again, the refuge is doing things right. They just need the support from the Federal Government and the region to provide funds they need to continue these efforts. Thank you very much.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Does that conclude the

group twenty-one through twenty-five? Additional call twenty-one through twenty-five?

I'm reminded that if you did not get a card as you came in or, in fact, as you've been here and perhaps struck by the spirit of public participation and would like to make a comment. I wonder if you might raise your hand and a card will be gotten to you by one of the staff members in the back. In which case now we'll go twenty-six through thirty.

MR. WELLS: Good afternoon. My name is Mike Wells. And I'm representing Missouri Department of Natural Resources. First of all, I would like to emphasize the importance of state -- I'm from Jefferson City, I'm sorry.

I'd like to emphasize the importance of state and local government being a true partner with the Federal Government. When programs are -- Federal Programs are established the local and state partners need to be involved

18 in the planning up front. 19

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23 24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

0053 1

2

3

Many times we're put in a reactionary mode where we have to react to a program that's already in place. Also, if I'd like to point out when programs are put in the place, especially when partners are involved, we need to adequately fund those programs both at the local, state and federal level and maintain the funding throughout the program. Many times we start programs and then funding dies down. 0052

One of the truly model programs in Missouri is it's Soil and Water Conservation Program. We've already heard today about the passage of the sales tax that was passed only three weeks ago today by a 71 percent vote.

This is a good example of how federal, local and state partners work together. The Natural Resource Conservation Service, the Soil and Water Program of the State, University of Missouri, Department of Conservation, local Soil and Water Conservation work together and the State solved this effort in passage of the tax. So this is just a good role model of how state, local and federal should be working together.

Successful partners are built from the ground floor. You've already heard this today. We have several great partnerships in this State, but they just need federal and state assistance and resources to get going to enter a partnership the Watershed Committee of the Ozarks, Northwest Missouri, Water Supply Project, just to name a few of many local partnerships that are locally led, local initiatives.

One of the other things that we need mention today is future compliance with an environmental mandate will require more local, state and federal partners.

And as you well know, Jim, we're faced with many infrastructure needs in this state, including clean water and public drinking water. This is going to have to be local,

state and federal partnership to solve this these issues. We don't need additional federal requirements. This is becoming a huge federal financial and technical burden for the states, especially when there's not sound science to support this. We need to make sure we have sound science to

support any additional requirements. Thank you.

1 2

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Please, ma'am.

MS. FARNSWORTH: Hi. I'm Margo Farnsworth. I'm with the Cumberland River Compact. I'm their Senior Fellow from the Cumberland River Basin in Tennessee and Kentucky.

First of all, I would like very much if you all would take thanks back to EPA. We were one of your first twenty targeted watersheds initiative grant awardees and we told you we would take three houses and make them water and energy friendly by working with partners and we've turned that into pledges for over a thousand. So I think that underscores the importance of capacity building.

And indeed, like the builder who just came up here and spoke, when we talk to builders they say: We would be happy to make water and energy friendly houses if we didn't have to get variances for everything.

That underscores something that I've heard from many of our stake holders; and that is, that there is a lot of times a break down between the Federal Government down to the local government in terms of getting laws expressed and

enforced. And so making that level of communication more efficient and effective even within departments, I know I've heard it from personnel.

A second thing is I'd like to thank you for the wonderful personnel that you all have. The watershed coordinators from EPA and the DCs from USDA, the funding for those DCs to continue educating, to continue to get out to the public.

It was a District Conservationist who came up in a stake holder meeting and was giving us information from science about calfs and calf mortality from standing in muddy water and fencing cattle out of the creek and increasing weight gain that enabled us to take that to farmers and now we're able to fence a lot more cows out of the creek and provide alternate watering system and emergency sources to the creek. And so those people, your staff, have helped immensely.

Third, integrating land and water programs. Protecting land is the best way to protect water. They probably found out up at Smithville buffer zones. You all are both familiar with and if you could help us in funding with capacity building to our colleagues at RC&D and others who are able to get out here and talk to the people, we would very much appreciate it. Just recognizing the power of capacity building. And thank you so much for being here.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, ma'am. Just to review that should have covered presenters one through thirty. One through thirty. Any others in that group? Thirty-one through thirty-five, please. Thirty-one through thirty-five. Please, sir. Thank you.

MR. LOCKARD: Good afternoon. I'm Allen Lockard from Pike County, Missouri. And I'm a Missouri company owner called American Botanicals.

I've been in the industry for thirty years and I'm here representing American Herbal Products Association today.

I'm on the raw materials committee and the alternate culture (phonetic) committee.

We are working in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife on the conservation education for collectors of wild American herbs. We are concerned that many of the national, state forest have been prohibited from issuing collection permits of the herbal products that we use.

Non-harvesting of plants do not necessarily increase plant populations. We promote multiple use and sustainable yield permits. And we believe that good conservation practices increase plant populations.

So we are asking for harvesting by permit on state and federal properties and also to increase cooperation between businesses and Government to monitor the plants as they the harvest on those government lands. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you very much, sir.

MR. BROZ: Bob Broz. University of Missouri Extension. Two things that I think would actually help. I have to agree with what Mike Wells and Margo both said. You all are doing a fantastic job, but the big issue currently -- what we see is capacity building of local citizens.

This can be improved certainly with more assistance given to the RC&Ds, extension programing, the private land people at the Department of conservation. Those people are out there on the ground working more effectively to get things done and working directly with those local citizens.

If we want to see more work being done that way we need bring us back to local level. Give the local NRCS, the local extension, the local MBC responsibility to take the board back to EPA, USDA at the national and regional levels. They're the ones who work with the 93 percent of the land that's owned by private citizens.

The second thing that can be done from the Washington level is to learn how to bend from what your primary directive is. So that instead of doing what's necessary for your individual group to actually bend enough that you can actually work for the good of the common people out here.

Each individual group has an individual directive, which is very hard to get passed sometimes. We've talked the

same story until we say: Oh, that doesn't quite meet what we can do. So then we're having to force ourselves to work around the backside of things.

At the state level we work at the fringes sometimes to be able to meet some of the things we're asked to give nationally. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Any others in the group thirty-one through thirty-five? Thirty-six through forty. I'm beginning to see a pattern here. Forty-one through forty-five. Anyone else who wanted to make a comment? Thank you, sir.

Okay. As long as we have, say, about five folks I wonder if you might just -- I bet you can do that all by yourselves get to the microphones. And please, sir, go ahead.

15 MR. ASBURY: Thank you, Dan. Gentlemen, thank you

16 for the opportunity to speak with you today regarding the 17 issues of importance to the Missouri River Stake Holders.

18 19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4 25

0058 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I'm Randy Asbury, Higbee, Missouri, Executive Director of the Coalition to Protect Missouri River or CPR. A group that represents the diverse interest of agricultural, navigational, industrial, utility and business related entities dependent on the Missouri River.

Due to our time constraints today, I will address only one issue important to agriculture. We are concerned with the excessive transfer of private property and public

ownership for mitigation purposes in the Missouri River floodplain.

The Missouri River floodplain is home to some of the most productive agricultural land in the United States and the systematic transfer of that land to public ownership is

detrimental to our stake holders in the counties which that land is found.

Much of the land that is publicly acquired is no longer in use for its most beneficial purpose, agricultural production. To that end local business and counties are harmed by the reduced tax base and reduced purchases and investments made by farmers who no longer farm that land.

Unfortunately, when land is transferred to public ownership property taxes are no longer by the private landowners, instead the Government makes payments in lieu of taxes of that many times don't match personal property tax levels and many times not paid at all due to the lack of funding by the Federal Government.

When this occurs our local school districts and others depending on county tax bases are harmed. One answer to this situation is to reduce the need for public land acquisition through mono programs, such as the Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program or WHIP.

This is a voluntary program for those who desire to develop and improve wildlife habitat on private land. 0059

particular program can provide a 75 percent cost share to establish and improve fish and wildlife habitat through contracts that generally last five to ten years.

We have mentioned programs such as this to the Corp of Engineers, but are continually told they have no authorization to use such programs.

With that in mind, I'd suggest that the USDA and the Corp enter into Memorandum of Understanding or agreement to somehow make this program available to our regular farmers.

We would like to see it operate at 100 percent cost share to farmers along river, who would like to voluntarily participate in mitigation efforts without selling their property.

If additional authorization and/or appropriations are needed to pursue such a 100 percent cost share, we would be happy to assist with that effort.

We believe such a program would accomplish the same mitigation benefits without the public acquisition of private property. This would create a win-win situation for the

20 species, the counties and farmers. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, Mr. Asbury. Thank you, sir. Those remaining to make comments, could you raise your hands please so I can get a count.

Okay. I need your counsel. We're at a break time. 2:30 was the scheduled break and we can break here. It also

affords our honored guest opportunities to put some of their thought together for their closing comments.

Apologies to you because that keeps you on pins and needles a little bit as we go into our fifteen-minute break. If I may, may I call that break at this point, 2:30.

Everyone has been -- but please, please don't leave and if you want to make a comment come back. Fifteen-minute break. And I will call it back -- call the session back to order promptly at 2:45.

(WHEREIN A SHORT BREAK WAS TAKEN.)

MR. WITTER: If I may now, returning -- thank you, Mr. Tenny. Numbers forty through forty-five. I think we've captured all numbers prior to that, if not you may join the group. Forty through forty-five. Please. Sir.

MR. JORGENSON: My name is Don Jorgenson (phonetic) and I'm from Jefferson, South Dakota. I'm a Missouri River landowner and I belong to a group called the Protection of --excuse me -- MOARK and Missouri River Technical Group of Sioux City and MOARK in Kansas City.

And our objective is to use -- to encourage stewardship and careful use of the Missouri River waters. And as you probably know the Missouri River Basin is a -- in a controversy and there's a large Missouri River problem and it's largely a water war, which is using the ES A as a vehicle.

2.3

1 2

Missouri River has many uses hydropower, wildlife and recreation, navigation, water supply, municipal and --both municipal and industrial irrigation bank stabilization and some others.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife suggests an adapted management by stake holders to manage the river, which is by nature cooperative, okay. And this is a probably an excellent vehicle.

Now, we've been down the road slightly. There was a -- what was called a Spring Rise initiative last year, where stake holders from states, cities, tribes, land users, agriculture and many others came together and tried to formulate a plan for the Spring Rise, which was quite controversial.

I think it can be said that the Spring Rise initiative got way, although it had some benefits, in essence it failed. And so the question is, not as to will cooperation fail, but why did it fail. I think there are several reasons reasons for that failure.

One is that the information from federal and state agencies dealing with the Missouri River are not always available in a timely manner. And additionally, this information is typically given out in a selective manner to those who they think they would like this information to go

25 to. 0062

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 2.3

2.4

Another part of the problem is effective adaptive management must be based on good science. A buyout (phonetic) is based on many quality items and not that all documents have all the items and it was a worthy event.

It had been a good item, but the problem is it relates to the piping -- the piping quote of Interior Least Terns and of Pallid Sturgeon, "If the science in that is faulty, it's unlikely that we'll get much response. So we need to resolve many of these conflicts of interest --

MR. WITTER: Sir, I'm sorry to interrupt.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Bye.

MR. WITTER: Please, sir.

MR. JOHNSON: All right. Thank you. Hi. My name is Justin Johnson. I'm from Columbia, Missouri. I'm here today representing the Missouri Prairie Foundation.

We're a statewide non-profit group celebrating our 40th Anniversary this year. We have about three thousand members and we managed about three thousand acres of prairie property that we've acquired over the last forty years.

We actually do -- I wanted to mention. We actually do pay taxes on all the land that we acquire. We pay all of our taxes to be good neighbors.

I want to talk to you today just real briefly about three things, consistency of funding, focus of funding and follow through.

25 0063 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2.

3

Consistency of funding, I've been to Washington a few times to talk about a program called the State Wildlife Grant -- State Tribal Wildlife Grants. It's a program created by Congress it's our nation's poor program for keeping wildlife off the endangered species list.

Essentially it works, it funnels money from the federal level down to the state level down to the local level to get ahead of that curve in making sure species do not become endangered. It funds conservation species that are not hunted or fished nor endangered and everything in between.

And every year that money kind of goes up and down, the funding levels go up and down. It would just be better for natural resources planners if consistent funding were available.

Actually, Senator Talent from our state along with Tim Johnson and Dwayne have submitted an amendment -- they have an amendment language spread to stick on a bill that's moving to provide that consistent funding from an offshore oil and gas royalties.

As far as focus of funding, Missouri has done a job better than most states of putting together a thing called the State Action Plan. We call it the Comprehensive Wildlife Strategy here in Missouri.

It lists all of the places that partners are already ready to go to work and I would just ask that programs focus 0064

resources on the places that have already been determined by stake holders to be important to us.

And then as far as follow through there's a lot of

Federal Programs, such as the Conservation Reserve Program, the Grasslands Reserve Program. They're really good and we just need to make sure that we follow through and make landowners do what they signed up to do, which is make sure that we don't have tall stands of rain grass. I'd just encourage management through fire and grazing to the preservation (phonetic) of the world. Thank you for your time.

2.

 MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. That should have afforded everyone one through forty-five opportunities to speak. If not, one through forty-five comes --you're welcome to come to the microphone with the group now. Forty-six through fifty. Forty-six through fifty. Please, sir.

MR. BEACOM: Looks like a small group. This cooperative conservation is a nice concept, but I'm a Captain on river. My name is Bill Beacom, B-E-A-C-O-M. And I'd say that when it comes to the Missouri River we're more familiar with confrontational conference in conservation than we are with cooperative conservation.

We've been litigating for the last four or five years and we're still litigating. The thing we're litigating over now is kind of nonsense issue because the science doesn't

even support the litigation from either side.

We're trying to recover species in areas where they really can't be recovered. I think that even though a lot of people don't know a lot about fisheries biology it would be the comparison of trying to recover rabbits in a fox farm.

I mean, the river has changed appreciably. It's now clear water below the dams and all of this has taken place for a two hundred mile stretch of river that shouldn't even be considered as viable for recovery of a native species.

And so we're moving up and down this thing and I think that if we really want to get anywhere with cooperative conservation, where our foundation is built on confrontational conservation that probably we first should visit common sense conservation.

And in order to do that we have to deal with science that's viable. We have to do it with agency people who are not using a leverage that they've gained through the courts.

And the people that are mad because they lost now using leverage that they gained through the Endangered Species Act and they're pursuing agendas that are -- were in place all the time that they've been defeated on this through the courts. So it holds up the conservation.

And I'm a member of the Middle Basin Recovery of the Pallid Sturgeon and have also been selected for the Oversight Committee and I can see walls instead of doors being built by

some of people in the agencies and what will eventually suffer will be the endangered species itself.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Numbers forty-six through fifty? Fifty-one through fifty-five, please, sir.

MR. KARPOWICZ: Hello. I'm Jim Karpowicz from Columbia, Missouri. That's K-A-R-P-O-W-I-C-Z. And I'm representing Missouri River Relief.

Missouri River Relief is an example of cooperative

conservation at work. For years our great rivers have been 10 used as a dumping ground.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0067

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

In 2001 a group of citizens in cooperation with the Missouri Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, the EPA and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife set out to solve that problem.

We're proud of this. Here's what we accomplished. We've had twenty-one community cleanups. We've removed 322 tons of trash and debris. We've cleaned up 248 miles of the

In that process we've engaged 5,013 volunteers to help us in that effort and, of course, Jim Gulliford is one of them, as well as several people in this room have gotten out, gotten money and pulled trash out of the river.

As I said we're proud of those results, but this year we're looking forward instead of backwards. Towards that end I wanted to thank the administration for the hard work and

commitment of the U.S. Army Department Corp of Engineers and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife regarding the Missouri River mitigation project.

In the future the Missouri is going to be a more natural, more healthy and more recreational river. It will be home to endangered species and a full service navigation ship.

It's difficult challenge, but on behalf of Missouri River Relief I wanted to thank the Administration for the work being done on the Missouri River.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Please, sir.

MR. CLARKSON: Thank you. My name is Heath Clarkson. I'm here today on behalf of the Home Builders Association of Jefferson City. I'd like to welcome you to our great city. We're honored to have this here today.

In addition, I'm here speaking on behalf of some of the other affiliates that weren't able to come in from the State of Missouri today. So on behalf of the Homeowner's Association of Missouri, as well, we'd like to make just a few comments.

The first being we were honored also today to have Ms. Asmus from our National Trade Association, the National Association of Home Builders. We wholeheartedly agree with her comment. We also agree with her support on the call for legislative action in regards to Clean Water Act.

25 I came mainly talk about the Clean Water Act today. 0068

One of my comment would be -- in regards to Green Building locally here in Jefferson City the issue has been brought up many times by our members and the issue that always holds it up is cost.

Green Building is more expensive to do. It's very hard to sell something on a market when it costs more. We think economically it needs to be sound so it will happen.

The second thing I'd like to add is a gentleman from DNR is here today and Missouri -- we think we have a really good relationship with DNR and the municipalities and we urge if there is any kind of rules or rewrite that you put a lot of consideration into allowing local communities to work together rather than go to the federal level, it's easier to go to the

14 state level and sometimes even the local level.

And finally, there's two things I'd like to hit on real briefly. The first is not to rip on any other industry, but sometimes our members feel that they're singled out and I think on the rewrite, if you do a rewrite, or if you change the rules you need to try to make sure that no industry feels like they're singled out and everyone is working together in a coordinated effort to protect the environment.

And my final comment is kind of a last little slap, not against anyone, just a comment. We ask that you please be cautious to economics because our business, our industry relies on economics.

1 2

2.1

The price of a home decides if we continue to succeed. And we must reach a balance on the Endangered Species Act, as well as the Storm Water Act to allow systematic growth and for developers to ensure affordable housing continues and that the housing industry does not become endangered itself. Thank you for your time.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ WITTER: Thank you, sir. Please, sir. Mr. Taylor.

MR. TAYLOR: Steve Taylor. Environmental Resources Coalition. And just to follow up on my opening statement presentation regarding the Smithville Project.

Following up on that we worked with the folks in southwest Missouri and I want to echo just -- one thing about going last you kind follow up on what some other folks already

said. There was a discussion about massive buildings and I think that's very key.

In our southwest project, which is very different from Smithville as far as resources are concerned, one thing that's key and that's partnership and cooperation. There's six not-for-profits already down in southwest Missouri that we're working with.

One's been there for twenty-five years. Another one just got their 5013(c) status. A lot of what we're trying to do is to help build their capacity to do the work that they're told to do when they're down there in that local area, so I

just want to echo that comment.

The other thing we're doing down there is what's called a Data Gap Analysis. And there's been lot of discussion about the Endangered Species Act. I think a lot of the issues in the Endangered Species Act could benefit from the Data Gap Analysis.

There's discussion about the Missouri River. I found out through the discussion about some of the signs and some of the issues about trying to work together more, about some of the signs on some issues surrounding endangered species.

I heard some comment about enforcement versus cooperation. I'm not sure it can't be an either/or. I think there's what we're calling water quality or you're talking species, because there's a place for each and each can work hand in hand.

And then finally, one final comment, a different

18 issue is the Hypoxy issue. We are part of the EPA review of 19 that action plan on that issue it is the upper Mississippi

20 River Basin Subcommittee -- I forget the long title, Jim, but

21 anyway on that issue I've been involved in that issue for

22 several years through the '90s.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0072

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 And I think this is an opportunity to -- that issue 24 kind of stalled a little bit and now we're relooking at that

25 issue. And I think, again, we take a good look at that issue, 0071

do a Data Gap Analysis, stay true to the science and maybe we can move that forward.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. That should have been fifty-one through fifty-five, if I'm not mistaken. Fifty-six through sixty? Sixty-one through sixty-five? Please, sir.

MR. MERHOFF: My name is Arthur Merhoff. I'm a Senior Research Fellow with Center for Agriculture Resource Environmental Systems, University of Missouri. I'm also involved with the Missouri River Communities Network's Heritage Tourism Initiative.

I think the key to cooperative conservation, at least what I've heard today, is by showing the relationship between resource conservation, economic renewal -- I guess I would throw in the whole issue of fairness, which I think is implied, but maybe not always spoken.

I think there are two tools for cooperative conservation that are available. First one is called community design. And community design is a participatory and interactive process that brings together the natural sciences, social sciences -- I know that's a dreaded word -- and the design arts into a systematic way that gets a variety of community voices that are here, so that they can talk to one another and also it helps to get visual images of what cooperative conservation would look like. Words can sometimes be soap bubbles, but a picture can be worth a thousand words.

How to go beyond that, another form of cooperative conservation is something called a regional learning ecology. A regional learning ecology is really a web based network of interested participants because it is web based it's always available. It's just not available to a selective few.

It shows how decisions have been reached and I think that's one of the ongoing concerns that people have, but also makes technical resources such as scientific data, technical papers available, allows access to case studies, such as the Smithville Case Study that was shown. It can be available on video, for example, but it also provides opportunities for citizen feedback.

It can affect the process itself. I guess that's maybe one of the keys here. It can document the lessons learned, so community design and regional learning ecologies allow for a diversity of participants, allows real dialogue and requires everyone, both partners and the process, to be open to change. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. Just to be sure. Fifty-one through fifty-five. Fifty-six through sixty. Sixty-one to sixty-five and Sixty-six to seventy. Please, 22 ma'am.

2.0

2.4

2.4

MS. My name is Carrie Yonley, Y-O-N-L-E-Y. I'm from Ashland, Missouri. And I'm here representing my own views and also the Cedar Creek Landowners Group.

I have a background as an environmental engineer, having worked on significant area and land pollution and control projects during my career, have also been a long-term outdoor enthusiast and nature photographer.

I wanted to make comments both from a land conservation end and environmental standpoint. Six years ago I moved back to Missouri and became a Forest Service Neighbor and in the last year I've been quickly thrown into some significant forest service issues and am learning quickly, but quite franking some of them have been fairly alarming to me and my neighbors.

First of all, the proposed sale of the forest service. Secondly, the finalization of the Mark Twain National Forest Management Plan that appeared to have weakened the protectiveness of the land, such as Smith Creek, just north of here, which is the only protected land of the forest service, like this, north of the Missouri River.

In essence I've learned that our national efforts to conserve the gorgeous land for the future seem to be weakening and I am concerned that we don't collectively have the vision to conserve the public lands as I thought we did.

I believe it will take an education program through partnership with the Government and the real public to resolve this threatening issue. And that we need to weigh the economics versus preservation, that's difficult, but I don't

believe it's unachievable.

Along the same lines on an environmental topic -- and this is from my environmental career dealing with pollution issues. I've come to the conclusion the only way for the U.S. to continue progress as a global leader is through educating and motivating all of a citizens to share in the responsibility and share with the school kids starting at a that age a role in environmental responsibilities and conservation to a level beyond where we are today.

I believe this will take engaging the real public, again, and having a progressive education process unfold over the next twenty or thirty years.

Finally, a more difficult topic, that is something that I have witnessed. I've been part of the collaboration process in different instances. I believe that it does work. It's a great process, but there's more to do than to make it more productive.

One goal I believe is important is to challenge some of the environmental groups to work harder at representing what I call the "real American public". I've seen many projects in working both with and across the table with these groups, where some of the groups with only settle with extreme views and don't see the trust, the knowledge or views of the other participants.

Their goals and extraordinary energy and passion for

these topic is always commendable, but the ensuing process to resolve the extreme views tends to wear out those involved and it doesn't always leave the process working to feel like it's been a vision and effect. I believe, hence the term, confrontational conservation made earlier.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WITTER: Ma'am, I must interrupt you. Forgive me. Folks, I'll remind you longer comments you may place in box in the back, please.

MS. YONLEY: Thank you.

2.0

MR. WITTER: Thank you, ma'am. Thank you very much. That was sixty-six through seventy. Let me take seventy-one through eighty. Thank you. Please, sir.

MR. BRYAN: Hi. I'm Gerald Bryan with the Eastern Ozark Forestry Council. I am private landowner based here in Missouri and I'd like to address a few things concerning the forestry issue.

First of all, I think we would like to see forestry identified and recognized as a crop by the USDA and like any crop it needs do be managed to sustain production and conserve our national resources.

The point that I'll be talking about are those that are being pushed by the sustained -- for sustainable forestry -- we'd like to see an adoption on national policy for sustainable forestry as pushed by the Society of American Foresters and the Association of State Foresters.

Some of these are priorities for the EQIP program should be stated at the state level rather than the national level and should prioritized with regard to need and not as to -- from special interest groups.

Forest help should be a statewide priority resource issue for allocation of EQIP funds. Sustainable forest management should be part of the Conservation Security Program.

The energy title should support economic development of biomass fuels. Either the energy title or the conservation title should support market development of equal system services provided by sustainable force.

The Forest Legacy Program we would like to see fully funded. Target forestry is an agriculture practice that has been neglect for too long by the USDA by increasing the number of foresters at the national, state and local level.

Provide an allocation for forestry to fund research and demonstration of innovating forest practices and harvest technologies that will enhance forest productivity by protecting and maintaining fragile ecosystems and its function. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir.

MR. SCANLAN: Good afternoon. I'm Steve Scanlan (phonetic) from Olathe, Kansas, representing the Army's Regional Environmental Office in Kansas City.

We will submit a longer written statement that addresses the five cooperative conversations questions mentioned on the card, but I'd just like to highlight one program here today that maybe of an interest to the panel and others in the room.

Recognizing the critical need to cooperate with others in conservation of our natural resources, the Army accordingly established a program to work with local agencies and non-profit organizations to conserve private lands around its installations.

The effort is termed the Army Compatible Use Buffer Program or ACUB. It is an innovated approach that has been developed in recent years to insure the continued vitality of the training lands while providing long-term protection for natural resources.

As part of the ACUB Program the Army is authorized to enter into cooperative agreements and partnerships with the state and local governments and/or private conservation

19 organizations.

1 2

1 2

A part of the agreement -- as a part of these agreements the parties identify mutual land conservation interests and in the vicinity of Army installations the goal of these acquisitions is to insure that these lands remain undeveloped or in a manner that does not adversely impact on the military testing, training or operation.

The Army considers these partnerships a win-win solution that simultaneously achieves conservation and natural resources important to local citizens, avoids incompatible land uses and supports the state development.

These cooperative efforts also allow many landowners, such as ranchers and farmers to continue to live and work on their land preserving the important local heritage.

The ACUB Program is strictly voluntary, working with willing landowners and willing partners, which have common interests in the land and natural resources -- protecting land and natural resources.

The types of land protected through the ACUB Program generally include forest, farms, range and grazing. To date the Army Cooperative Conservation Partnership through the ACUB Program has played an instrumental role in the conservation of more than 54,000 acres of non-federal land in vicinity of sixteen Army installations.

These efforts helped in continuing military readiness, as well as natural resource stewardship it collectively supports the same bill. In support of the ACUB Program \$50 million in military funds have been leveraged against \$100 million for non-military entities. Thank you very much.

25 MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. That was seventy-one 0079

through eighty. Last call. Eighty-one through ninety. Thank you. Please.

MR. MAHFOOD: Thank you, Mr. Moderator. One of the panel members mentioned that I probably couldn't say my name in two minutes, so I've got two cards here. It might afford some opportunity to saying something.

Hi. My name is Steve Mahfood. And I'm representing the Nature Conservancy here in Missouri. Nature Conservancy would like to thank the administration for conducting these

10 listing sessions. I'd certainly like to thank you Under 11 Secretary and Assistant Administrator, Gulliford, for being 12 here.

2.4

Nature Conservancy is a national non-profit organization dedicated to the conservation and biological diversity. Conservancy has over a million individual members or -- and nineteen hundred corporate associates nationally. We've got 21,000 members here in Missouri. We've protected nearly 15 million acres nationally.

The Conservancy's conservation programs are characterized by sound science, strong partnerships of public and private entities. And cooperative partnerships have always been a cornerstone of what the Nature Conservancy's work around the world has done.

Successful partnerships, multiple stake holders working together on a large landscaped scale. Well-funded,

federal land acquisition and conservation easement programs are vitally important and the use of these tools by the Federal Government willfully exercise their role as a conservation partner.

Planned acquisition programs and the use of voluntary conservation easements due the Land and Water Conservation Fund, Forest Legacy Programs have the ability to provide the investments necessary to protect such national treasures as the Missouri and Arkansas Ozarks, Tall Grass Prairie in Iowa and Missouri, but those investments come at a high price and we know that.

We applaud the current cooperative conservation programs that provide agencies the opportunity to work in collaboration with the landowner.

Nature Conservancy has a wonderful working relationship, NRCS, USGS, Fish and Wildlife Service, our state partners and great working examples.

We really strongly feel the administration should continue to expand in the sense for private land conservation and the 2007 Farm Bill. In particular, mentioned by a previous speaker, on forestry programs and expanding existing programs in NRCS to emphasize what's going on in forestry.

Finally, the Endangered Species Act must be strengthened to establish effective and efficient cooperative conservation. At some point Congress, the regulatory process

has got to foster more private landowner participation. We've got to look at conservation bank eligible lands and, of course, adequate funding of the incentive programs and grant programs available through ESA. Thank you very much.

MR. WITTER: Please, sir.

MR. HARDECKE: My name is Ron Hardecke. I'm from Wentzville, Missouri and I'm a crop and livestock farmer. I also serve on the Gasconade County Soil and Water District and Missouri Farm Bureau Board of Directors and I'm currently sitting on the Missouri Clean Water Commission.

Today I'm speaking on behalf of Missouri Farm Bureau. Missouri Farm Bureau was pleased to participate in the White House Conference on cooperative conservation in St. Louis last August.

Protecting private property rights and promoting good resource management are long-standing Farm Bureau policy positions. They reflect four values held by our members. Our message to you today is this: Work with farmers and ranchers and organizations like Farm Bureau to find ways to meet cooperative conservation objectives, which should include keeping working lands in the hands of family farmers and

22 ranchers for food and fiber production.

NRCS provides a direct link between landowners and the Federal Government. Here in Missouri state conservationists, Roger Hanson, and his staff do a great job

of dealing with our diverse demands and limited resources. As local sources of information and assistance for farmers and ranchers District Conservationists are uniquely qualified to coordinate local landowner involvement and cooperative conservation issues.

We urge you to look to state and local NRCS officials for guidance from within the ranks of the Federal Government in developing practical cooperative conservation applications that work for farm and ranch families, who supply Americans with the safest most abundant and most affordable food supply in the world.

I want to thank you Jim Gulliford for his outreach to the ag community during his tenure at Region 7 in the EPA in Kansas City. We look forward to working with his -- the new administrator in that position.

I am going to be abbreviate from my prepared comments and give you an example of some cooperative conservation efforts Missouri Farm Bureau has been working on in the last few years.

Stream bank erosion is a severe problem in at least the southern part of Missouri and we began about four years ago in an effort with the Missouri Department of Conservation. In the last four years we've worked with DNR, NRCS, EPA, Corp of Engineers and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to try to work through the regulatory burden and allow landowners to maintain

their stream banks.

We've also worked with the Missouri Legislature and our U.S. Senate and congressional delegation, State Representative, Tom Lerner is here. He's going to make comments on that, as well.

MR. WITTER: Sir, thank you so much.

MR. HARDECKE: Thank you for your patience.

MR. WITTER: Please do put longer comments in the box and remember there's a variety of ways available to you to provide comment. Thank you for your patience. Please, sir.

MR. LERNER: Thank you. My name is Representative Tom Lerner. I'm the one that Mr. Hardecke talked about. And yes, before I was legislature, which I became two years ago, I was a full-time farmer.

I live on a small stream -- rather not a small stream, but I have experienced firsthand the serious problems with stream bank erosion, which we face.

And the greatest problem that I've found as a

landowner is that to deal with situation you have to deal with so many different agencies: EPA, Fish and Wildlife, DNR, Conservation, all these different programs. It's up to the landowner to pull all these people together. Well, I found when I had the opportunity I got all

Well, I found when I had the opportunity I got all these people into an office and I sat down and I talked to them and we got together on cooperative conservation.

The Conservation Department has worked very well with us. We've worked through all these organizations to come up and try a plan to address the stream bank stabilization program that we've put in place.

And to say that's it not possible, we have -- in the last year we have completed four steam banks stabilization projects in cooperation with the Conservation Department, the Conservation Federation, Fish and Wildlife, NRCS have all worked together and we've put these in in the last year.

And matter of fact. Three of them are actually an endangered species habitat, which is big thing that we've overcome. We had to work together.

What I'm asking is, is if continue to work together in this situation, it is very important that we have somebody that deals with these landowners.

The landowners our areas are always working with the NRCS Department. They're doing all their crop reporting and everything. There's a perfect example of where we can work -- the NRCS Department can pull all these organizations together so that landowner don't have to do it, that they somebody to go to.

Just in a brief note to the USDA Department, we have ongoing right now a mapping program that we are actually mapping some mid-Missouri streams to find out exactly how much land has been eroded off our stream banks in the last ten

years and you will be amazed.

2.4

2.0

2.3

I think that you will find out this is the largest contributor to soil erosion in this state, if not this nation. It needs to be addressed. It is not cheap. It has some cost share involved with it.

I think you will find out when we get this information together, we will have it to prove to you, that this is a serious prop and needs to be addressed and it can be addressed and help the environment, the landowners and we can all work together to accomplish this. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you sir. Numbers eighty-one through ninety that should have been. Ninety-one through one hundred. Please, sir.

 $\,$ MR. JOHNSON: Thank you very much. I'd like to thank the administration and the representatives for coming in and being here today.

My name is Steve Johnson. I'm with the Missouri River Communities Network in Columbia, Missouri. Our mission is to enhance the stewardship of Missouri River.

In 1987 the Missouri Department of conservation did a study that indicated the Missouri River is the most underutilized natural resource in the State of Missouri and I think that's still true.

24 We believe that the best way to address that issue 25 is to get local citizens involved and create forums where all 0086 1 stake holders on Missouri River can get together and talk about the issues and meet each other, instead of discussing 2 3 these issues on fifteen second sound bites on the evening 5 We've worked on a couple of projects that addressed 6 that. The Citizens Based Environmental Protection, a small 7 grant that we received in 1999 to do the management project, 8 which was basically to create a forum where farmers, 9 navigation industry representatives, environmentalists, 10 landowners, levy district members and regular citizens come 11 together and talk about issues about the Missouri River. 12 It was successful and it's still happening in some 13 ways. The Missouri Stream Team Program here in State of 14 Missouri is a wonderful program. There are more than 3,000 15 stream teams. Citizens who've adopted watersheds in their 16 local areas. There's more than 60,000 volunteers working on 17 various stream team projects. 18 Our organization has just created a project to bring 19 AmeriCorp members -- we'll have twelve AmeriCorp members 20 working in five communities to help assist stream team members 21 and those 60,000 voluntaries to do projects and to get 2.2 involved in their local watersheds. 2.3 The other project is the Missouri River Heritage 24 Tourism Initiative that was discussed earlier. This is a project getting seventeen communities along the Missouri 25 0087 1 River, six state agencies and twelve non-profit organizations working together to create a world heritage tourism corridor 3 and basically the concept here is to enhance the stewardship 4 of the natural resource that is the embodiment of the heritage 5 of our area and is the foundation for economic development for 6 communities to create businesses to provide goods and services 7 for people who want to come visit. MR. WITTER: Sir, I'm sorry. I must interrupt this. 8 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you very much. 9 10 MR. WITTER: Thank you, sir. 11 MR. JOHNSON: Appreciate it. 12 MR. WITTER: Much appreciated. Thank you. 13 MS. HEARLTH: Thank you for being here today. 14 name is Tina Hearlth. I've never spoken to the Federal 15 Commission before. I'm President of a recently formed 16 non-profit organization called Citizens Against Polluted 17 Environment. We're farm families -- family farmers, local folks. 18 19 I would encourage you to -- before you invoke new 20 regulations, enforce the body of law that currently exists 21 with respect to monopolies -- in all monopolies in 22 agriculture. 23 The liberation of industrial agriculture, 24 specifically to fine animal feeding organizations is probably 25 the single greatest threat to water quality that we face

today.

On July 12th of this year Governor Blunt here in

0088

2

Missouri signed, I believe, House Bill 1142, which gives an exemption to agriculture for point source contamination from irrigation and storm water run off.

We are family farmers and we don't want more regulation on family farmers. Unattended consequence of that piece of legislation is it allows municipalities to -- ours in particular -- spray raw sewage on our grounds harvest hay crop off of it and there's no restriction on that procedure, that's three hundred feet from my house that raw sewage is being sprayed in an overhead irrigation system. It goes right down the creek.

And they have exemptions to do that. I would very much encourage the EPA and the USDA to take a look at that piece of legislation and possibly discuss it on this unattended consequence.

And the other thing that I would encourage is when you consider farm legislation speak to people who actually file Schedule F with their 1040s. Those are actually the farmers.

There are very well-funded agriculture lobby groups and they outspend us. We can't litigate to protect ourselves and we can't compete with the propaganda that goes out in the advertising community.

25 0089 1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3 4

5

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

So I would highly encourage anything that you're going to impose, talk to the people that actually file Schedule F with their tax returns. Thank you.

MR. WITTER: Thank you, ma'am. Just to review. Eighty-one through ninety? Ninety-one through one hundred? One hundred one through one ten? Now, I'm seeing a pattern. One eleven to one twenty? Anyone else? Last call for comment?

Not quite done yet folks. First, if I may as moderator, thank you for your fine adherence to the process and it genuinely pained me whenever I had to interrupt you. You all spoke with passion and it was obvious you had prepared. Thank you for that.

What is exciting now is the opportunity to yet again hear from Mr. Tenny and Mr. Gulliford as they offer final comments. If there was no one else -- no one else to comment.

Mr. Tenny and Mr. Gulliford will offer final comments and their observations of the afternoon and what they've heard. I would first welcome Mr. Tenny to the podium. Thank you, sir, for being with us. We really appreciate it.

MR. TENNY: Clearly the most difficult job here today has been Dan's job and I think we all should give him a round of applause.

I think that we owe you a great deal of thanks, as well. When I was a much younger person someone who was very 0090

influential in my life told me an old saying and the saying goes like this: "If you lose, salute it. And if you can lift it, move it. If you can't move it, paint it."

What I've heard here today is a lot that deserves saluting, particularly the optimism among those of you who are working of your own accord with citizens and local communities to come up solutions, that's really inspiring stuff.

What I also hear is that many of you are having to do a lot of lifting when it comes to the Federal Government using your -- or pulling out pain threshes and that's where we can do better.

What I hear you saying is that if we will focus our attention to the folks that are our resources. If we focus our priorities on the many who are already doing then we will just be able to do so much more, that's what I hear.

And I think that creates a wonderful opportunity for us. I put myself into a position of a forest ranger or the state conversationist or others in the Federal Government, who work with people and citizens day-to-day.

Where am I most likely to be dedicating my efforts to work, of course, it would be -- or making my life easier because they're making the work that we're all engaged in easier and better. And I can't help but think that our greatest position here is to make that easier to do, to make it more hospitable.

The Chief Forest Service has said on numerous occasions if you want to partner with us, you've got to be able to bargain with us, because sometimes you need to be a part to do that and he said that in jest, but there's always a German truth in the indication of that and I think that's a very powerful message for us to take back.

Let's let the conservationists. Let's let the partnerships. Let's let the good work that people are willing to do because they're willing to do it, work, that's very to commend that.

And let's make our system a little more integrated, a little more understandable, a little more accessible to the people who are willing to do good things, because they want to do it and I thank you for you that.

We are going to listen a little bit more. We have a few more of these sessions to do and we'll be spending time with folks there. We will be continuing these listening sessions throughout the month of September.

We're hoping to complete them. We will never be done, but we'll have completed our listening sessions and take the information we learned from you, the very good advice of council we heard from you and we'll be fashioning our federal efforts in a manner that's consistent with what I've heard.

In my position I'll be working on closed policies that have do with the management of our national corp system

here and the others will be looking at how we can work better with farmers or ranchers. Others are going to be looking at how we can work better with those who are working on our rivers, about our home environments, about a whole variety of places that are important to us and resources that we can --

So we thank you for all of your input. We thank you for being here. We thank you for so many of you preserving today and staying throughout the entire session.

Please continue in the work you're doing and we will recommend things to try to make it easier. It won't be perfect, but we're going to try. Thank you very much for being here and we hope to hear from the great State of

13 Missouri as it moves forward.

2.0

1 2

MR. GULLIFORD: I want to thank all of you. I've always heard and felt that the governance in this nation is really given to the people that show. The people that come and express their interests, their concerns really do have effect in this country.

So we very much appreciate the things we have heard from you today. I want to thank you for your kindness and respect to each other, not only in operating by the rules set forth in the session by Dan, but by the way you treated each other and treated everybody here.

You really, obviously, impress me the way you midwesterners do impress a lot of people as being very 0093

passionate, very respectful, very polite, but firm and I think that's characteristics that I value a lot and we did hear your messages today. And we heard a lot on a number of things.

One, that you folks are good at Department of Conversion and the way you practice it. You use it to address issues that are very important, but I also heard today that you're asking for our support, sometimes financially, sometimes through how we implement our programs.

I think sometimes by just sharing some of the load with you and being receptive to your ideas and needs and I think that those are messages that we take away very, very seriously and we take away from these meetings.

And finally, clearly if you listened -- and I thank all for staying, as well -- in the different issues there are differences of opinion and, again, presenting in a respectful and powerful way.

We heard particularly -- I guess the one issue is the strongest concern that both sides are the Endangered Species Act and how it's implemented, whether it's modified, whether it's funded. There's a lot of interest in the Endangered Species Act, one that obviously interests us very much, as well.

So again, I just want to close by thanking all of you for bringing your ideas, very articulately, everybody here, just by their interest, their passion and their

willingness to give us an afternoon, to give us your ideas, we very much appreciate. Thank you all for coming. Please drive safely.

Know that we have recorded some of the things that you said today, very interested in them. You'll see the essence of these comments on our websites that deal with issues and please stay engaged in the process and stay engaged in the things you're doing locally for the betterment of not only Missouri, but for the other states that we're representing here.

MR. WITTER: Safe travels, as well. Thank you for coming here. Do remember the other form of comment that you're able to make on the web, the letter or box in the back. And thank you for being here safe travels to you. This ends the session. Thank you.

```
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0095
 1
                         C E R T I F I C A T E
 2
 3
     STATE OF MISSOURI
 4
                            SS
 5
     COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS )
 6
 7
               I, Ashley C. High, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary
 8
     Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify
 9
     that I was personally present at the proceedings in the
10
     above-entitled cause at the time and place set forth in the
     caption sheet thereof; thereafter reduced to typewriting under
11
12
     my direction.
                                        Ashley C. High
13
                                        Notary Public within and
14
                                        for St. Louis County, MO
15
16
     My commission expires October 20, 2007
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```